IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO.107/2000.

Shri Audumber Salkar,
Resident of House No.179,
Dangui Colony, Mapusa, Goa. .... Petitioner.

V/s.

1. Mapusa Municipal Council,
through its Chief Officer,
Office of the Mapusa Municipal
Council, Mapusa, Goa.

2. Mr. Francis C.J.A. D'Souza,
Chair Person, Mapusa Municipal
Council, Mapusa, Goa.

3. Mr. Gajanan M. Salkar,
Chief Officer, Mapusa Municipal
Council, Mapusa, Goa.

4. The Director,
Directorate of Urban Development
(Directorate of Municipal
Administration) Government of
Goa, Panaji, Goa.

5. The Government of Goa,
through The Chief Secretary
Office at Secretariat,
Panaji, Goa.

6. The State of Goa, through
The Chief Secretary,
with Office at
Secretariat, Panaji, Goa.

7. Shri Vaman Sawant,
House No.102, Kasarwada,
Khorlim, Mapusa, Goa.

8. Shri Deepak P. Sawant,
House No.102, Kasarwada ,
Khorlim, Mapusa, Goa.

9. Shri Satish V. Parab,
House No.95, Ansabhat,
Mapusa, Goa.

10. Shri Dattaram S. Natekar,
House No. not known,

Near Saibaba Temple,
Canca, Bardez, Goa.

11. Shri Jeronimo D'Souza,
House No.267,
Xelpem, Dhuler,
Mapusa, Goa.

12. Shri Francis Mascarenhas,
House No.225/A, Xelpem,
Dhuler, Mapusa, Goa.

13. Shri Shamrao Anand Mishal,
House No.C-6, Housing Board
Colony, Ganeshpuri, Mapusa, Goa. .... Respondents.

Mr. Amrut Kansar, Advocate for the petitioner - absent.

Mr. S.D. Padiyar, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. P.A. Kamat, Government Advocate for respondents No.4 to 6.

Mr. S.K. Kakodkar, Sr. Advocate with Mr. J.J. D'Souza, Advocate for respondents No.7, 8, 10, 11 and 13.

Mr.J.P. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for respondent No.9 - absent.

Mr. D.Pangam, Advocate Advocate for respondent No.12.

CORAM : V.G. PALSHIKAR &
P.V. HARDAS, JJ.

DATE : OCTOBER 7, 2003.

ORAL JUDGMENT: (Per PALSHIKAR, J.)

When the petition was called out, none appeared for the petitioner. The learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submit that the petition is rendered infructuous in view of the subsequent

development which includes certain amendments. The petition is disposed of as infructuous. We, however, make it clear that in the event the petitioner seeks restoration of the petition, the petitioner shall state how the petition survives. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

V.G. PALSHIKAR, J.

P.V. HARDAS, J.

ssm.